Exhibit C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Master File No. 09-CV-118 (VM)

Plaintiffs,
v.
FAIRFIELD GREENWICH LIMITED, et al.,
Defendants.
This document relates to:
The Standard Chartered Cases

PASHA ANWAR, et al.,

STANDARD CHARTERED PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION RE ROBERT A. PICARD AND GEORGE A. MARTIN

The Standard Chartered Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") hereby respond to the Standard Chartered Defendants' First Sets of Requests for Production of Documents Concerning Robert A. Picard and George A. Martin, respectively ("RFP").

Objections to Promulgation of the RFPs

The Plaintiffs object to the RFPs on the following grounds:

- 1. that they were submitted after the end of the discovery period without obtaining leave of court to institute this discovery;
 - 2. that the pretrial order, which governs expert-related discovery,

does not authorize requests for production under Fed.R.Civ.P. 34 but permits suppoenss to the professed expert witnesses, which should be used instead of a request under Rule 34 to obtain otherwise unobjectionable documents;

- that the RFPs were submitted in bad faith and with the purpose of delay and obfuscation; and
 - 4. that the RFPs impose undue burdens on the Plaintiffs.

The Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to assert additional objections to the individual requests if the Court rules that the RFPs are not to be stricken.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard E. Brodsky

The Brodsky Law Firm, PL

200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 1930

Miami, FL 33131

rbrodsky@thebrodskylawfirm.com

Tel: 786-220-3328 Fax: 866-564-8231

Attorney for Maridom Plaintiffs

Member, Standard Chartered Plaintiffs'

Steering Committee

On behalf of the Standard Chartered

Plaintiffs

Admitted pro hac vice